



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/568,241	03/08/2007	Donald James Highgate	GJE.7555	9552
23557 7590 08/18/2011 SALIWANCHIK, LLOYD & EISENSCHENK A PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATION PO Box 142950 GAINESVILLE, FL 32614				
EXAMINER CHERN, CHRISTINA				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
1725				
NOTIFICATION DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
08/18/2011		ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

euspto@uspatents.com

<i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/568,241	HIGHGATE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	CHRISTINA CHERN	1725	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) CHRISTINA CHERN. (3) ____.
- (2) Louis Frank (# 60,034). (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 09 August 2011.

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal [copy given to: ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: ____.

Issues Discussed ☐ 101 ☒ 112 ☐ 102 ☐ 103 ☐ Others
(For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detailed description of the discussion)

Claim(s) discussed: N/A.

Identification of prior art discussed: N/A.

Substance of Interview

(For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc...)

Discussion with regards to the affidavit filed by the applicant on 6/16/2011 and clarification regarding the medium for electron transport in the device was made. Applicant indicated the polymeric material for the membrane comprising an electrically active component of a strongly ionic group provides electron transport between the electrodes of the device. Examiner indicated the U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, lack of enablement rejection will be withdrawn.

Applicant recodation instructions: It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview.

Examiner recodation instructions: Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recodation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recodation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.

☐ Attachment

/Basia Ridley/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1725